**Annex 8**

To the regulations of the 2023–2026 project application open tender of the National Research programme

’ Latvian Culture: a Resource for National Development’

**Project application expert examination individual/consolidated assessment form**

|  |
| --- |
| Project application name:Expert(s): |
| **1.** | **Scientific quality of the project** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **1.1.** | Considerations: scientific quality, credibility, and novelty of the research | (explanation) |
| **1.2.** | Considerations: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, and their relevance to the objectives |
| **1.3.** | Considerations: ability to create new knowledge or technological findings |
| **1.4.** | Considerations: contribution of partners (if any), their scientific capacity, planned cooperation quality. |
| **2.** | **Impact of project outcomes** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **2.1.** | Considerations: expected transfer of the knowledge and skills acquired in further activities, and the development of scientific capacity | (explanation) |
| **2.2.** | Considerations: possibilities for developing research, including contributions to drafting new projects for submission to the calls for projects of the European Union Framework Programme for Research and Innovation ‘Horizon 2020’ and other research and innovation support mechanisms |
| **2.3.** | Considerations: knowledge important for the sector; improvements in the national economy and the society created as a result of the research; |
| **2.4.** | Considerations: sustainability of the acquired knowledge and a qualitative plan for its distribution, including the planned scientific publications, and informing of the public |
| **2.5.** | Considerations: the research promotes the strengthening of the scientific capacity of the scientific staff involved in the research, including students |
| **3.** | **Project possibilities and assurance** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **3.1.** | Considerations: quality of the research plan and its conformity to the objective set. The planned resources are adequate and sufficient for the achievement of the objective. It is planned to ensure efficient use of resources as part of the research. The planned work stages and tasks are clearly defined, appropriate, and credible | (explanation) |
| **3.2.** | Considerations: scientific qualifications of the project leader and lead project participants on the basis of the submitted curricula vitae (CV) |
| **3.3.** | Considerations: suitable research management, including quality management, is envisaged. Organising of management enables tracking the progress of the research. Potential risks have been evaluated and a plan for their prevention or the minimisation of their negative impact has been developed |
| **3.4.** | Considerations: research infrastructure is required for the research, including access to the equipment of partners (if applicable); |
| **3.5.** | Consideration: the institution carrying out the research and the partners (if applicable) have the necessary knowledge and expertise |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Scientific excellence** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**(grade) |
| **Score** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 30% | 50% | 20% |