**Annex 8**

to the National Research programme

"Development of a cohesive and civically active society" 2023-2025

rules for the open call for proposals

**Form of individual/consolidated evaluation of the project application**

|  |
| --- |
| Title of the project application:Expert(s): |
| **1.** | **Scientific quality of the project** | Maximum 5 points |
|  | Consideration: Contribution to the overarching aim and objective of the Programme and to the implementation of the thematic objectives | (justification) |
| **1.2** | Consideration: scientific quality, reliability and novelty of the study |
| **1.3** | Consideration: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, and relevance to the objectives |
| **1.4** | Consideration: capacity of the project to generate new knowledge or technological insights |
| **1.5** | Consideration: contribution of the collaborating partners (if any), their scientific capacity, planned quality of the collaboration |
| **2** | **Impact of project results** | Maximum 5 points |
| **2.1** | Consideration: expected transfer of acquired knowledge and skills to further activities and scientific capacity development | (justification) |
| **2.2** | Consideration: opportunities for research development, including contributions to the preparation of new projects for submission to calls for proposals under the European Union’s Framework Programme for Research and Innovation “Horizon Europe” and other research and innovation support programmes and technology initiatives |
| **2.3** | Consideration: the research will generate knowledge important to the relevant sector, development of the national economy and society |
| **2.4** | Consideration: sustainability of the knowledge generated and a qualitative dissemination plan, including scientific publications and public outreach |
| **2.5** | Consideration: implementation of the research contributes to strengthening the scientific capacities of the research staff, including students |
| **3** | **Project feasibility and provisioning** | Maximum 5 points |
| **3.1** | Consideration: quality of the research activity plan and its relevance to the objective. The resources provided are adequate and sufficient to achieve the objective. The research aims to ensure efficient use of resources. The planned work steps and tasks are clearly defined, relevant and reliable | (justification) |
| **3.2** | Consideration: scientific qualifications of the Project Manager and of the key project implementers, based on the curriculum vitae (CV) submitted |
| **3.3** | Consideration: appropriate research management is provided for, including quality management. The management organisation allows to follow the progress of the research. Potential risks have been assessed and a plan developed to avoid or mitigate them |
| **3.4** | Consideration: the research infrastructure required for the study, including access to collaborating partners’ facilities (if applicable) |
| **3.5** | Consideration: the institution carrying out the research and the collaborating partners (if applicable) have the necessary knowledge and expertise |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Scientific excellence** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**(rating) |
| **Points** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 30% | 50% | 20% |