**Annex 8**

*to National research programme*

*"Digital Humanities"*

*rules for the open call for proposals*

**Expertise individual/expertise consolidated assessment evaluation form of the project application**

|  |
| --- |
| Title of the project application:Expert(s): |
| **1.** | **Criterion:** **Scientific quality of the project** | Maximum 5 points |
| **1.1.** | Consideration: scientific quality, reliability and novelty of the study | (justification) |
| **1.2.** | Consideration: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, and relevance to the objectives |
| **1.3.** | Consideration: capacity of the project to generate new knowledge or technological insights |
| **1.4.** | Consideration: contribution of the collaborating partners (if any), their scientific capacity, the quality of the cooperation envisaged |
| **2.** | **Criterion:** **Impact of project results** | Maximum 5 points |
| **2.1.** | Consideration: expected transfer of acquired knowledge and skills to further activities and scientific capacity development | (justification) |
| **2.2.** | Consideration: opportunities for research development, including contributions to the preparation of new projects for submission to calls for proposals under the European Union's Framework Programmes for Research and Innovation and other research and innovation support programmes and technology initiatives |
| **2.3.** | Consideration: the research will lead to knowledge or policy recommendations and solutions relevant to the objectives of the Programme, the sector concerned, the economy and society |
| **2.4.** | Consideration: sustainability of the knowledge generated and a qualitative dissemination plan, including scientific publications and public outreach |
| **2.5.** | Comments: The implementation of the study contributes to strengthening the scientific capacities of the research staff, including students |
| **3.** | **Criterion:** **Project feasibility and security** | Maximum 5 points |
| **3.1.** | Consideration: quality of the study work plan and its relevance to the objective. The resources provided are adequate and sufficient to achieve the objective. The study aims to ensure efficient use of resources. The planned work steps and tasks are clearly defined, relevant and reliable | (justification) |
| **3.2.** | Consideration: scientific qualification of the project manager and the project's main executors, based on the curricula vitae submitted |
| **3.3.** | Comments: Project quality management is planned. The management organisation allows one to follow the progress of the study. Potential risks have been assessed and a plan developed to avoid or mitigate them |
| **3.4.** | Comments: existence of the research infrastructure needed to carry out the study and access to other research infrastructure of the collaborating partners (if applicable) |
| **3.5.** | Consideration: the institution carrying out the research and its collaborating partners (if applicable) have the necessary experience to implement the project |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Scientific excellence** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**(rating) |
| **Points** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 30% | 50% | 20% |