**Annex 8**

*to the Procedure of the Open Call for Proposals of the State Research Programme*

*"Innovation Fund - Sectoral Research Programme"*

**Individual expertise/ consolidated expertise assessment form for the project proposal**

|  |
| --- |
| Title of project proposal:Expert(s): |
| **1.** | **Criterion: Scientific quality of the project** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **1.1.** | Considerations: scientific quality, credibility, and novelty of the research | (explanation) |
| **1.2.** | Considerations: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, and their relevance to the objectives |
| **1.3.** | Considerations: ability to create new knowledge or technological findings |
| **1.4.** | Considerations: contribution of partners (if any), their scientific capacity, planned cooperation quality. |
| **2.** | **Criterion: Impact of project results** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **2.1.** | Considerations: expected transfer of the knowledge and skills acquired in further activities, and the development of scientific capacity | (explanation) |
| **2.2.** | Considerations: possibilities for developing research, including contributions to drafting new projects for submission to the calls for projects of the European Union Framework Programme for Research and Innovation and other research and innovation support programmes and technology initiatives |
| **2.3.** | Considerations: programmes for the achievement of objectives, knowledge important for the sectoral, economic and societal development, or policy recommendations and solutions will be created as a result of the research |
| **2.4.** | Considerations: sustainability of the acquired knowledge and a qualitative plan for its distribution, including the planned scientific publications, and informing of the public |
| **2.5.** | Considerations: the research promotes the strengthening of the scientific capacity of the scientific staff involved in the research, including students |
| **3.** | **Criterion: Project possibilities and assurance** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **3.1.** | Considerations: quality of the research plan and its conformity to the objective set. The planned resources are adequate and sufficient for the achievement of the objective. It is planned to ensure efficient use of resources as part of the research. The planned work stages and tasks are clearly defined, appropriate, and credible | (explanation) |
| **3.2.** | Considerations: scientific qualifications of the project leader and lead project participants on the basis of the submitted curricula vitae (CV) |
| **3.3.** | Considerations: project quality management is foreseen. Organisation of management enables tracking the course of the research. Potential risks have been assessed and a plan for their prevention or the minimisation of their negative impact has been developed |
| **3.4.** | Considerations: existence of the research infrastructure needed to carry out the study and access to other research infrastructure of the collaborating partners (if applicable) |
| **3.5.** | Considerations: the institution carrying out the research and its collaborating partners (if applicable) have the necessary experience to implement the project |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Scientific excellence** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**(evaluation) |
| **Points** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 30% | 50% | 20% |