**Annex 7**

National Research Programme “Analysis of the suitability of artificial intelligence methods for

European Union Fund projects”

Regulations of the open tender for project applications

**Form of individual/consolidated evaluation of the project application**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title of the project application:  Expert(s): | | | |
| **1** | **Scientific quality of the project** | | Maximum 5 points |
| **1.1** | Consideration: scientific quality, reliability, and novelty of the research | (justification) | |
| **1.2** | Consideration: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, as well as relevance for achievement of set objectives and tasks |
| **1.3** | Consideration: capacity of the project to generate new knowledge or technological insights |
| **1.4** | Consideration: contribution of the cooperation partners (if any), their scientific capacity, the quality of the cooperation envisaged |
| **2.** | **Impact of project results** | | Maximum 5 points |
| **2.1** | Consideration: expected transfer of acquired knowledge and skills to further activities and scientific capacity development | (justification) | |
| **2.2** | Consideration: possibilities for developing research, including contributions to drafting new projects for submission to the calls for projects of the European Union Framework Programme for Research and Innovation “Horizon Europe” and other research and innovation support mechanisms |
| **2.3** | Consideration: the research will generate knowledge important to the relevant sector, development of the national economy and society |
| **2.4** | Consideration: sustainability of the knowledge generated and a qualitative dissemination plan, including scientific publications and public outreach |
| **2.5** | Consideration: implementation of the research contributes to strengthening the scientific capacities of the research staff, including students |
| **3** | **Possibilities and provision of project implementation** | | Maximum 5 points |
| **3.1** | Consideration: quality of the research activity plan and its relevance to the set goal. The resources provided are adequate and sufficient to achieve the objective. The research aims to ensure efficient use of resources. The planned work steps and tasks are clearly defined, relevant and reliable | (justification) | |
| **3.2** | Consideration: scientific qualifications of the Project Manager and of the key project implementers, based on the curriculum vitae (CV) submitted |
| **3.3** | Consideration: appropriate research management is provided for, including quality management. The management organisation allows to follow the progress of the research. Potential risks have been assessed and a plan developed to avoid or mitigate them |
| **3.4** | Consideration: research infrastructure is required for the research, including access to the equipment of cooperation partners (if applicable) |
| **3.5** | Consideration: the institution carrying out the research and the cooperation partners (if applicable) have the necessary knowledge and expertise |
| Expert recommendations for the implementation of the project | |  | |
| Potential risks related to the implementation of the project | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Scientific excellence** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**  (rating) |
| **Points** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 30% | 50% | 20% |