Annex 6

 To Regulations for the Open Tender for 2025 Fundamental and Applied Research Projects (28.02.2025)

**Form for the Individual/Consolidated Evaluation of the Project Proposal**

|  |
| --- |
| Project title:Expert(s): |
| **1** | **Criterion: Scientific quality of the project proposal** | Maximum 5 points |
| **1.1** | Consideration: the scientific quality, reliability, and novelty of the research | (justification) |
| **1.2** | Consideration: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, and relevance to the objectives |
| **1.3** | Consideration: the ability of the project to generate new knowledge or technological insights |
| **1.4** | Consideration: the contribution of cooperation partners (if any), their scientific capacity, planned cooperation quality |
| **2** | **Criterion: Impact of Project Results** | Maximum 5 points |
| **2.1** | Consideration: expected transfer of the acquired knowledge and skills in further activity and the development of scientific capacity | (justification) |
| **2.2** | Consideration: possibilities for developing research, including contributions to drafting new projects for submission to the calls for projects of the European Union Framework Programme for Research and Innovation ‘Horizon Europe’ and other research and innovation support mechanisms |
| **2.3** | Consideration: the research will generate knowledge important to the relevant sector, development of the national economy and society |
| **2.4** | Consideration: sustainability of the knowledge generated and a qualitative dissemination plan, including planned scientific publications and public outreach |
| **2.5** | Consideration: the implementation of the research contributes to strengthening the scientific capacities of the research staff, including students |
| **3** | **Criterion: Project Implementation Feasibility and Provisions** | Maximum 5 points |
| **3.1** | Consideration: quality of the research work plan and its relevance to the objective. The intended resources are adequate and sufficient for the achievement of the objective. It is intended to ensure efficient use of resources in the research. The planned work stages and tasks are clearly defined, relevant, and reliable | (justification) |
| **3.2** | Consideration: scientific qualifications of the principal investigator and of the lead project participants on the basis of the submitted curriculum vitae (CV) |
| **3.3** | Considerations: suitable research management, including quality management and research data management (a data management plan is developed and maintained), is envisaged. The management organisation allows to follow the progress of the research. Potential risks have been evaluated and a plan for the prevention thereof or minimisation of the negative impact thereof has been developed |
| **3.4** | Consideration: research infrastructure is required for the research, including access to the equipment of cooperation partners (if applicable) |
| **3.5** | Consideration: the institution carrying out the research and the cooperation partners (if applicable) have the necessary knowledge and expertise |
| **3.6** | Consideration: possible project risks have been identified that could negatively affect the implementation of the project or the achievement of its individual results and/or the overall goals |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Scientific quality** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**(rating) |
| **Points** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 50% | 30% | 20% |